Pupil premium strategy statement

School overview

| Metric | Data |
|---|------------------------|
| School name | Oakfield Junior School |
| Pupils in school | 255 |
| Proportion of disadvantaged pupils | 36 |
| Pupil premium allocation this academic year | £49,460 |
| Academic year or years covered by statement | 2019-20 |
| Publish date | 30.10.19 |
| Review date | 22.7.20 |
| Statement authorised by | D. Willemse |
| Pupil premium lead | J. Treen |
| Governor lead | A. Hunt |

Disadvantaged pupil attainment for last academic year

| 2018-2019 Attainment Summary | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 36 Pupil Premium pupils on roll of which: (Years 3,4,5,6) 7 pupils have an EHCP and are in the Learning and Additional Needs Centre (LAN Centre) 3 pupils have been adopted from care (Post LAC) 7 pupils are on the SEND register SEN support XX%= 1 pupil | % of pupils in years 3,4,5 & 6 eligible for PP (36 pupils) Based on Teacher's end of year assessment | | | |
| % who have achieved Age Related Expectations (or better) in maths | 81% | | | |
| % who have achieved Age Related Expectations (or better) in reading | 69% | | | |
| % who have achieved Age Related Expectations (or better) in writing | 72% | | | |

| (10 pupils ir | End of KS2 Attainment 2018-19. (10 pupils in year six on roll of which: 1 pupil with EHCP in the Learning and Additional Need Centre. 3 pupils with SEN support) | | | | |
|---------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Subject | Achieved Age Related Expectations | Exceeded Age Related Expectations | | | |
| Reading | Pupil Premium: 60% | Pupil Premium: 20% | | | |
| Writing | Pupil Premium: 70% | Pupil Premium: 0% | | | |
| GPV & S | Pupil Premium: 80% | Pupil Premium: 0% | | | |
| Maths | Pupil Premium: 80% | Pupil Premium | | | |

Disadvantaged pupil progress for last academic year

2018-2019 Progress Summary

- 36 PP children on roll of which: (Years 3,4,5,6)
- 7 EHCP Learning and Additional Needs Centre (LAN Centre)
- 3 Adopted from care (LAC)
- 7 SEN support

| Cohorts | Maths | Reading | Writing |
|---|-------|---------|---------|
| Pupil Premium (29) excludes 7 centre pupils | | | |
| 3 points or more | 97% | 86% | 93% |
| 4 points or more (Good Progress) | 69% | 55% | 69% |
| Pupil Premium (36) includes 7 centre pupils | | | |
| 3 points or more | 92% | 78% | 75% |
| 4 points or more (Good Progress) | 56% | 44% | 56% |

| End of KS2 2018-19 Progress (10 pupils on roll of which: 1 pupil with EHCP from Learning and Additional Needs Centre. 3 children with SEN support) | | | |
|---|-------|--|--|
| Measure | Score | | |
| Reading | -3.23 | | |
| Writing | -2.07 | | |
| Maths | +1.53 | | |

- **Progress** is +1.53 for maths, but -2.07 in writing and -3.23 in reading below national averages for English and the gap is widening
- In **Attainment** percentage below national average for reading and writing but above for maths. However, in maths the gap has still widened by 11.6%. In SPAG cohort's attainment is 10% above national average

Pupil Premium Intent

The Government believes that the Pupil Premium Grant (PP), which is additional to main school funding, is the best way to address the current underlying inequalities between children eligible for free school meals (FSM) and their peers by ensuring that funding to tackle disadvantage reaches the pupils who need it most. Schools are free to target the PP to best meet the needs of vulnerable children in their specific context. They are accountable for how they have used the additional funding to support students from low-income families. New measures of success will be included in the DfE performance

tables; these will capture the achievement of those disadvantaged pupils covered by the PPG.

| lm | lementation Impact | | Projected spending | Actual spending (Feb 2020) |
|----|--|--|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Tailored intervention from teachers and support staff carried out. Booster sessions for PP pupils delivered by experienced members of teaching staff. SDP Section 4 Objective 1 Impact 3 & 4 | Rates of progress for Off Track, SEND and Disadvantaged pupils in Reading & Writing & Maths improved Disadvantaged pupils' attainment from previous year has improved resulting in progress gap narrowing E.g. A Pupil in Year 4 achieved 4.5 their progress target was 4.7 This year the same pupil is expected to achieve at least 5.6 | £23,414 | £23,414 |

<u>ATTAINMENT</u>: Children need to be on at least point 3, thus on track, to achieve <u>Age Related Expectations</u> (point 4) at Summer 2.

| Children to be on at least point 3 at Spring 2 | MATHS | READING | WRITING |
|---|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Year 3 (mainstream cohort = 59) Greater Depth @ KS1 (maths 17, reading 18, writing 13) Working Towards / Pre Keystage @ KS1 (maths 10, reading 9, writing 12) | 4 (93%) | 5 (93%) | 5 (93%) |
| Year 3 (pupil premium cohort = 7) | 2 (71%) | <mark>3 (57%)</mark> | <mark>3 (57%)</mark> |
| Year 4 (mainstream cohort = 58) Greater Depth @ KS1 (maths 17, reading 22, writing 13) Working Towards / Pre Keystage @ KS1 (maths 9, reading 7, writing 9) | 2 (97%) | 4 (93%) | 3 (95%) |
| Year 4 (pupil premium cohort = 6) | 1 (83%) | 1 (83%) | 1 (83%) |
| Year 5 (mainstream cohort = 56) Greater Depth @ KS1 (maths 14, reading 21, writing 13) Working Towards / Pre Keystage @ KS1 (maths 6, reading 5, writing 13) | 1 (98%) | 2 (96%) | 3 (95%) |
| Year 5 (pupil premium cohort = 6) | 0 (100%) | 0 (100%) | 0 (100%) |
| Year 6 (mainstream cohort = 54) Greater Depth @ KS1 (maths 10, reading 16, writing 10) Working Towards / Pre Keystage @ KS1 (maths 11, reading 5, writing 8) | 1 (98%) | 0 (100%) | 0 (100%) |
| Year 6 (pupil premium cohort = 8) | 0 (100%) | 0 (100%) | 0 (100%) |
| Total (mainstream cohort = 227) | 8 (97%) | <mark>11 (95%)</mark> | <mark>11 (95%)</mark> |
| Total (pupil premium cohort = 27) | 3 (89%) | <mark>4 (85%)</mark> | <mark>4 (85%)</mark> |

More targeted support will be needed for year 3 Pupils for the next academic year.

| Introduce structured Read, Write, | Rates of progress for Off | Read Write | Phonic |
|---|--|--------------------|---------------|
| Inc. intervention (Centre and Lower | Track, SEND and | Inc. | resources: |
| KS2) and Fresh Start intervention | Disadvantaged pupils | Phonics resources: | £1,008 |
| (Upper KS2) | in Reading & Writing & | £1986 | Fresh Start |
| | Maths improve | 21000 | resources: |
| Ensure all relevant staff have | | Fresh Start | £1,990 |
| received paid-for training to deliver | Teaching of reading, | resources: | Training cost |
| the intervention scheme effectively. | writing and | | Flesh Start: |
| | phonics/spelling for Pupil | £1003 | £1,040 |
| Intervention programme delivered by | Premium children is | | Added Costs: |
| support staff across school. | systematic and of high | Training costs | £1,214 |
| | quality | £260 per | Phonics |
| SDP Section 4 Objective 2, Key Action 7 | | person x4 | resources: |
| | Disadvantaged pupils' | | £401 |
| | attainment from previous | Plus added | |
| | year has improved | cover costs | |
| | resulting in progress gap | and transport | |
| | narrowing. | linked to | |
| | | training £520 | |
| | E.g. A Pupil in Year 4 achieved | x2 | |
| | 4.5 their progress target was 4.7 This year the same pupil | | |
| | is expected to achieve at least | Total costs: | |
| | 5.6 | | |
| | | £5049 | |
| | The attainment and | | |
| | progress gap has closed | | |
| | between Pupil Premium | | |
| | and non-Pupil Premium | | |
| | children. | | |
| | | | |

June 2020, Zoom sessions for some pupils.

Due to lock down, impact cannot be measured but we had seen positive progress of pupils through the work units.

ELSA (Emplicacy Support Assistant) | PR children attendance | ELSA: 63, 480 | Taxable | Taxable | ELSA: 63, 480 |

| | 2 | ELSA (Emotional Literacy Support Assistant) | PP children attendance | ELSA: £3,480 | Transport |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 3 | employed (salary, training and resources) | improves | | £506 |
| | | to monitor pupils and follow up | | | 1500 |
| | | quickly on emotional and social | Attendance target for all | | |
| | | issues. ELSA to engage with pupils | PP pupils is: 96.5% | | |
| | | before further intervention is needed. | PP attendance for last | | |
| | | Home School Link Worker (HSLW) | academic year was | | |
| | | employed to support PP pupils and | 94.44% of which | | |
| | | families. | 4.38% was authorised | HSLW: | |
| | | | and 1.18% was | £5,668 | |
| | | Attendance of PP pupils is monitored | unauthorised | 20,000 | |
| | | closely if there are concerns, letters | No DD - War law of a | (From ELP | |
| | | are issued and follow up meetings | Non PP attendance for | Budget) | |
| | | with HT/ parents/ Inclusion Officer. | last academic year was | , , | |
| | | | 96.37% of which | | |
| ı | | | 3.79% was authorised | | |

| To improspiritual result of enriching To allow interests to social improving esteem. To improsocial, pit SDP Section SDP Sect | dance of PP pupils as of Marcove social, moral, cultural and I skills of eligible PP children as a fincreased access to life ag experiences. VPP children to develop new as & experiences and opportunities alise with peers and adults; thus and their concentration, and self- ove opportunities to develop obysical and academic abilities. Pection 3 Objective 1, Key Action 9 Pection 5 Objective 1, Key Action 1 | The school continues to provide well planned, rich experiences within the curriculum including residential & day trips; and through extra-curricular activities- children have retained the knowledge and skills learnt through these rich experiences Clubs: 100% of PP children are attending a club School trips and residentials: 100% attendance by PP children | £3,434 pp funding. £3095 from PP+ funding. | Funding for attending clubs/music lessons: £280. Other resources £7 |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| spiritual result of enriching. To allow interests to social improvin esteem. To improsocial, pi SDP Sec. SDP Sec. The implace. | skills of eligible PP children as a fincreased access to life ag experiences. PP children to develop new as & experiences and opportunities alise with peers and adults; thus and their concentration, and self-action ove opportunities to develop oblysical and academic abilities. | provide well planned, rich experiences within the curriculum including residential & day trips; and through extra-curricular activities- children have retained the knowledge and skills learnt through these rich experiences Clubs: 100% of PP children are attending a club School trips and residentials: 100% attendance by PP children | funding. | attending clubs/ music lessons: £280. |
| place. | | Financial support is provided on request for pupil premium pupils to take part in bike-ability, music, swimming and paying clubs as well as school trips and residentials. | | |
| To ensu | npact of the Corona Virus has All pupil premium pupils at | | | entials took |
| To prov | ure that PP children have to social and emotional t. vide high quality social and nal interventions (Thrive). | Pupils accessing Thrive support social and emotional skills have improved as evidenced in the Thrive reports following half termly screening PP children attendance has improved | Thrive: £6,688 | Thrive resources: £461 Thrive trainin £150 Refurbishmer costs £11 Salaries:£668 |

| throughout the day by support in class and at | | number of incidents of negative behaviour. (% of | time support. | |
|--|--------------------|--|----------------------|------------------|
| | break times. | negative behaviour. (% of | | |
| SDP Section 3 Objective 1, | | 11090111001100111 (70 0. | | |
| SDP Section 3 Objective 1, | | negative incidents decreased | £3152 | |
| | Impact 11. | as evidenced on CPOMS) | | |
| Support at playtimes has and more willing to learn | | nber of behaviour incidents. P | upils returning to (| class are calmer |
| All staff are aware of all | I PP children's | Termly meetings with | Area | EHCP Good |
| academic and emotion | al targets. | class teachers and PP | leadership | practice |
| | J | lead have successfully | time 1hr per | resources: £3 |
| PP school lead is giver | n time to | increased awareness of | fortnight | |
| ensure that there is a v | vhole school | all PP children and the | .o.u.ng.n | Transport |
| understanding of priori | ties. | need to close the gap. | | £345 |
| To raise the profile of F | PP children | Records show that the | | |
| within the school. | | attainment and progress | | |
| | | gap has closed between | | |
| SDP Section 1 Objective 1, I | Key Actions 6 & 8. | PP and non PP children. | | |
| | | | | |
| See data section 1. | | | | |
| | | | | |